

### **Amendment to the Drawings**

We have renumbered back side (12) to back side (34) on Figures 1,3,4 and 6. Connecting frame (13) was added to Figure 6. We have identified the location of top cut (19) in Figures 1, 3 and 7. We have also shown back cut out (20) in Figures 1 and 4. We have shown extension hole (21) and (22) in Figure 1. We have shown top side (30) in Figures 1 and 7. We have shown bottom side (31) on Figure 7 for clarity. We have also identified left side (32) on Figure 7; right side (33) on Figure 7; back side (34) shown in Figure 7; open side (35) shown on Figures 1, 2 and 7, as well as top edge (36), bottom edge (37), left edge (38) and right edge (39) on Figures 2 and 7. Base (40) is shown on Figures 1, 2, 4 and 5. In Figures 4 and 5, we deleted attachment 11.

### **Conclusion**

In conclusion, the distinctions noted above render the claims patentable over Pullman and meets the conditions for patentability per 35 USC § 102. Based on the foregoing clarifications, we request reconsideration of Claims 1 and 4 being allowed.


In addition, the invention submitted has patentable merit under Section 103 and is not obvious based on Pullman and we request reconsideration of Claims 2-3 as well.

We also request a review of new Claims 5 through 10.

We have amended the specification, the claims and the drawings for clarification.

We appreciate your attention and additional review of this application and its subsequent correspondence. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at (281) 301-2005.

Thanks,



Gary M. Machetta

Registered Patent Attorney

Reg. No. 53,251

Machetta Law Firm, P.C.

14614 Falling Creek Drive #125

Houston, TX 77068

(281) 301-2005